UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,669	08/16/2006	Masahide Miura	129110	9963
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 12/10/201 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	ZIMMER, ANTHONY J		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1736	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/589,669	MIURA, MASAHIDE		
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	1736		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>afte</u>	<u>r rce</u>		
(1) <u>ANTHONY J. ZIMMER</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Aya Suzuki</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>3 December 2010</u>	Time: <u>4 pm</u>			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:  ODP rejection regarding 10/593629				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>				
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the claims were allowable in regard to the prior art, and proposed an examiner's amendment to allow the process claims to be rejoined. The examiner then indicated that a Terminal Disclaimer would need to be filed regarding copending application number 10/593629. Applicant requested the ODP rejection in writing.